



Report - SK1105 - 2021-02-11

Respondents: 1
Answer Count: 1
Answer Frequency: 100.00%

Please note that there is only one respondent to this form: the person that performs the course analysis.

Course analysis carried out by (name, e-mail):

Ulrich Vogt, uvogt@kth.se

DESCRIPTION OF THE COURSE EVALUATION PROCESS

Describe the course evaluation process. Describe how all students have been given the possibility to give their opinions on the course. Describe how aspects regarding gender, and disabled students are investigated.

Course questionnaire send out to students by me and kursnämnd. Answers discussed with kursnämnd and PA.

DESCRIPTION OF MEETINGS WITH STUDENTS

Describe which meetings that has been arranged with students during the course and after its completion. (The outcomes of these meetings should be reported under 7, below.)

Several meetings with kursnämnd, PA and student representatives to discuss adaptation of the course to corona situation.

COURSE DESIGN

Briefly describe the course design (learning activities, examinations) and any changes that have been implemented since the last course offering.

Course cancelled during VT20 because KTH was closed for students. Reduced version of the course including one digital lab given during HT20.

THE STUDENTS' WORKLOAD

Does the students' workload correspond to the expected level (40 hours/1.5 credits)? If these is a significant deviation from the expected, what can be the reason?

Students judged that the workload was ok given the fact that this was an additional course during HT20.

THE STUDENTS' RESULTS

How well have the students succeeded on the course? If there are significant differences compared to previous course offerings, what can be the reason?

Same as previous years.

STUDENTS' ANSWERS TO OPEN QUESTIONS

What does students say in response to the open questions?

Students think course was ok given the corona circumstances.
Very few answers to questionnaires.



SUMMARY OF STUDENTS' OPINIONS

Summarize the outcome of the questionnaire, as well as opinions emerging at meetings with students.

More feedback on scientific writing would be welcome.

OVERALL IMPRESSION

Summarize the teachers' overall impressions of the course offering in relation to students' results and their evaluation of the course, as well as in relation to the changes implemented since last course offering.

OK given the corona situation.

ANALYSIS

Is it possible to identify stronger and weaker areas in the learning environment based on the information you have gathered during the evaluation and analysis process? What can the reason for these be? Are there significant difference in experience between:

- students identifying as female and male?
 - international and national students?
 - students with or without disabilities?
-

Analysis for this course round gives valuable feedback for next course round VT21 with pandemic still ongoing, but difficult translate to a normal situation

PRIORITIZED COURSE DEVELOPMENT

What aspects of the course should be developed primarily? How can these aspects be developed in short and long term?

Groups work vs. individual work is an interesting area which could be developed.

We felt students were more active and better prepared since everybody had to do the measurements alone.
