

Report - SI2410 - 2024-02-08

Respondents: 1
Answer Count: 1
Answer Frequency: 100.00%

Please note that there is only one respondent to this form: the person that performs the course analysis.

Course analysis carried out by (name, e-mail):

Sandhya Choubey, choubey@kth.se

DESCRIPTION OF THE COURSE EVALUATION PROCESS

Describe the course evaluation process. Describe how all students have been given the possibility to give their opinions on the course. Describe how aspects regarding gender, and disabled students are investigated.

The course evaluation questionnaire for the students was created after the course using the KTH template. Aspects of gender, disabled students etc were included as per the template and full opportunity was provided to the students to get their opinion. The students were informed about the LEQ via the KTH portal and thereafter it was taken over by the web portal.

DESCRIPTION OF MEETINGS WITH STUDENTS

Describe which meetings that has been arranged with students during the course and after its completion. (The outcomes of these meetings should be reported under 7, below.)

There were 18 scheduled lectures in the classroom. The student attendance in the lectures was very good and they participated also in the discussion.

COURSE DESIGN

Briefly describe the course design (learning activities, examinations) and any changes that have been implemented since the last course offering.

There were 18 lectures during the course. These lectures were given in the classroom. The lectures help explain to the students the key concepts of the course as well as the rigorous mathematical derivations and calculations which are integral part to the understanding of this subject. Most of the calculations were worked out on the blackboard, however, many exercises were left for the students to perform on their own. These exercises were given only for the students' own understanding and were not graded. Students were encouraged to discuss with the lecturer in case they ran into problems in doing the exercises themselves. During the lectures, the students asked questions and even during the breaks there were discussions.

The examination comprised of three sets of homework problems as a part of INL1. The homeworks were graded according to grades A,B,C,D,E,F,Fx. In order to get passing grade (E or higher), students had to obtain at least 40% on each of the problem sets. There was also an oral exam as TEN1. The oral exam was held on campus. The final grade for students who passed both INL1 and TEN1 was the overall grade obtained by the student in INL1.

The format of the course was not changed as compared to last year. The examination process was essentially the same.

THE STUDENTS' WORKLOAD

Does the students' workload correspond to the expected level (40 hours/1.5 credits)? If there is a significant deviation from the expected, what can be the reason?

The workload on the students corresponded to the expected level.

THE STUDENTS' RESULTS

How well have the students succeeded on the course? If there are significant differences compared to previous course offerings, what can be the reason?

The students performed well on the course. No significant difference was noted compared to previous course offerings

SUMMARY OF STUDENTS' OPINIONS

Summarize the outcome of the questionnaire, as well as opinions emerging at meetings with students.

The LEQ is not visible since too few students filled out the form.

OVERALL IMPRESSION

Summarize the teachers' overall impressions of the course offering in relation to students' results and their evaluation of the course, as well as in relation to the changes implemented since last course offering.

The course was very successful. The students enjoyed the lectures and participation was good.