



---

## Report - MH\_2601 - 2021-March to June

---

Respondents: 1  
Answer Count: 1  
Answer Frequency: 100.00%

---

**Please note that there is only one respondent to this form: the person that performs the course analysis.**

---

Course analysis carried out by (name, e-mail):  
Weihong Yang, weihong@kth.se

Using KTH <https://www.kth.se/social/course/MH2600/survey/> , information was send to email

---

### DESCRIPTION OF THE COURSE EVALUATION PROCESS

Describe the course evaluation process. Describe how all students have been given the possibility to give their opinions on the course. Describe how aspects regarding gender, and disabled students are investigated.

Course survey is created in 2021-June using KTH <https://www.kth.se/social/course/MH2600/survey/> , information was send to email. All students have been invited by email from system

---

### DESCRIPTION OF MEETINGS WITH STUDENTS

Describe which meetings that has been arranged with students during the course and after its completion.

During the courses, all students have been invited to make course evaluation. No further action is done after the course is completed.

---

### COURSE DESIGN

Briefly describe the course design (learning activities, examinations) and any changes that have been implemented since the last course offering.

Course includes lectures, project work, lab. Duo to Covid-19, Lectures and project were done by zoom  
Exam was replaced by a project. Lab was carried by groups physcail with following the KTH regulations on Covid-19.

---

**THE STUDENTS' WORKLOAD**

Does the students' workload correspond to the expected level (40 hours/1.5 credits)? If there is a significant deviation from the expected, what can be the reason?

Yes

---

**THE STUDENTS' RESULTS**

How well have the students succeeded on the course? If there are significant differences compared to previous course offerings, what can be the reason?

All students passed the course. Total 5. 4 of them got A, and 1 got B. not so different from previous year.

---

**STUDENTS' ANSWERS TO OPEN QUESTIONS**

What does students say in response to the open questions?

---

**SUMMARY OF STUDENTS' OPINIONS**

Summarize the outcome of the questionnaire, as well as opinions emerging at meetings with students.

It was interesting course, students learn how to solve the combustion problem and practical knowledge

---

**OVERALL IMPRESSION**

Summarize the teachers' overall impressions of the course offering in relation to students' results and their evaluation of the course, as well as in relation to the changes implemented since last course offering.

In general, course run well. Although the number of students are not so much, all of them have been deep involved in the discussion, project and questions

---

**ANALYSIS**

Is it possible to identify stronger and weaker areas in the learning environment based on the information you have gathered during the evaluation and analysis process? What can the reason be? Are there significant differences in experience between:

- students identifying as female/male?
- international/national students?
- students with/without disabilities?

---

**PRIORITIZED COURSE DEVELOPMENT**

What aspects of the course should be developed primarily? How can these aspects be developed in short and long term?

---

**OTHER INFORMATION**

Is there anything else you would like to add?

NO

---