

# Report - MH2504 - 2020-03-03

Respondents: 1 Answer Count: 1 Answer Frequency: 100.00%

Please note that there is only one respondent to this form: the person that performs the course analysis.	
Course analysis carried out by (name, e-mail):	
bjoerng@kth.se	
bjoerng@kth.se	

#### **DESCRIPTION OF THE COURSE EVALUATION PROCESS**

Describe the course evaluation process. Describe how all students have been given the possibility to give their opinions on the course. Describe how aspects regarding gender, and disabled students are investigated.

Student were offered to fill out an LEQ, but only one 1 out of 10 students handed in their answers. In addition, the teachers have discussed details of the course with the students throughout the course.

#### **DESCRIPTION OF MEETINGS WITH STUDENTS**

Describe which meetings that has been arranged with students during the course and after its completion.

The teachers have discussed details of the course with the students throughout the course.

## **COURSE DESIGN**

Briefly describe the course design (learning activities, examinations) and any changes that have been implemented since the last course offering.

#### Objectives:

Objectives:
The objectives are that the students will learn how to apply their theoretical knowledge to solve industrial engineering problems. This includes that the students will obtain new knowledge that has not been part of previous courses at KTH. They will also learn how to interact with industrial employees. More specifically, they will carry out two weeks of experimental and modeling work focusing on industrial problems, where they will learn practical aspects of production of metals. They will also visit the industrial companies to get acquainted with the industrial process and the particular problem of interest. In addition, they will make an oral presentation of their results. Furthermore, they will write a short technical report to describe their work, including an overall approach to solve the task, and the results of the study.

Examination

LAB1 – Project report and presentation, 6.0 credits, grade scale: A, B, C, D, E, FX, F

	rs to be able to evaluate.
THE STUDENTS' RE	
How well have the stube the stuber the reason?	udents succeeded on the course? If there are significant differences compared to previous course offerings, what c
The grades in t	he course were the following:
A: 3	no source word the renewing.
B: 5 C: 1	
	rerall very good. It is the examiners opinion that when the students are given relevan tasks they perform well.
	Table they perform them.
PTUDENTO'ANOME	DS TO OBEN QUESTIONS
	RS TO OPEN QUESTIONS say in response to the open questions?
Too few answe	ers to be able to evaluate.
	DENTS' OPINIONS
SUMMARY OF STUD	me of the questionnaire, as well as opinions emerging at meetings with students.
Summarize the outco	ers to be able to evaluate.
Summarize the outco	ers to be able to evaluate.
Summarize the outco	ers to be able to evaluate.
Summarize the outco	ers to be able to evaluate.
Summarize the outco	ers to be able to evaluate.
Summarize the outco	
Summarize the outco Too few answe	

# **ANALYSIS**

Is it possible to identify stronger and weaker areas in the learning environment based on the information you have gathered during the	ıe
evaluation and analysis process? What can the reason be? Are there significant differences in experience between:	

- students identifying as female/male?
- international/national students?
- students with/without disabilities?

Too few answers to be able to evaluate.	//
PRIORITIZED COURSE DEVELOPMENT  What aspects of the course should be developed primarily? How can these aspects be developed in short and long term?	
We will continue to identify relevant industrial problems well in advance with contacts in industrial Here, committees at Jernkontoret have and will be used to identify questions, since this is a plawere industrial partners meet.	y. ace
OTHER INFORMATION Is there anything else you would like to add?	