

# Report - MH2050- 2020-03-02

Respondents: 1 Answer Count: 1 Answer Frequency: 100.00%

#### Please note that there is only one respondent to this form: the person that performs the course analysis.

Course analysis carried out by (name, e-mail):

Stefan Jonsson

### DESCRIPTION OF THE COURSE EVALUATION PROCESS

Describe the course evaluation process. Describe how all students have been given the possibility to give their opinions on the course. Describe how aspects regarding gender, and disabled students are investigated.

The students always have the possibility to give feed-back during lectures and exercises, through email or by their own initiatives. In addition, you always sense their immediate response to what you do.

No gender aspects have been considered.

Disabled students are following the FUNKA procedure.

#### DESCRIPTION OF MEETINGS WITH STUDENTS

Describe which meetings that has been arranged with students during the course and after its completion.

No meetings have been arranged.

#### **COURSE DESIGN**

Briefly describe the course design (learning activities, examinations) and any changes that have been implemented since the last course offering.

The course has 11 lectures, 6 exercises, a mid-course quiz, a seminar and a final exam. The students are given lectures and exercises in mechanical properties. In addition, they are offered a study visit to Scania for ½ a day (cancelled 2020) where they can see industrial activities in mechanical properties. The course was fully digital.

#### THE STUDENTS' WORKLOAD

Does the students' workload correspond to the expected level (40 hours/1.5 credits)? If these is a significant deviation from the expected, what can be the reason?

## Yes.

#### THE STUDENTS' RESULTS

How well have the students succeeded on the course? If there are significant differences compared to previous course offerings, what can be the reason?

2019 results: 5A, 6B, 7C, 7D, 4E 2020 results: 3A, 8B, 14C, 9D, 1E, 4FX The Swedish students have the same chance to get good grades as the exchange students in MH2032 but they are much less prepared and less motivated for taking part in the teaching. activities. As a result, they get much worse result.

#### STUDENTS'ANSWERS TO OPEN QUESTIONS

What does students say in response to the open questions?

What questions?

### SUMMARY OF STUDENTS' OPINIONS

Summarize the outcome of the questionnaire, as well as opinions emerging at meetings with students.

Too few students answered. No information was return from the system.

#### OVERALL IMPRESSION

Summarize the teachers' overall impressions of the course offering in relation to students' results and their evaluation of the course, as well as in relation to the changes implemented since last course offering.

In 2019 most students didn't show up during lectures. In 2020 almost all of them attended the Zoom lectures every time. The course worked well in digital format.

#### ANALYSIS

Is it possible to identify stronger and weaker areas in the learning environment based on the information you have gathered during the evaluation and analysis process? What can the reason be? Are there significant differences in experience between:

- students identifying as female/male?
- international/national students?
- students with/without disabilities?

There is absolutely no difference between men and women related to the course. Disabled students follow the FUNKA program. No international students take this course. They take the English version of the course.

#### PRIORITIZED COURSE DEVELOPMENT

What aspects of the course should be developed primarily? How can these aspects be developed in short and long term?

The course was fully digital. Simulations and modelling will be extended. Self-instructing and selfcorrecting exercises will be prepared.

#### OTHER INFORMATION

Is there anything else you would like to add?

I give my lectures at the A-level. Perhaps this is not the correct level for the Swedish students. Perhaps teaching should be given at the C-level. Then, the students will find it is easier to get a fair grade. The good students must study by themselves to get the grade they want (A or B).