

Name (optional): Alexis Hubert

Course evaluation for “Seminars in Information and Network Engineering” EQ 2461

Teacher: Associate Prof. Ming Xiao

Content

1. Do you think that the organization of the course is interesting and why (i.e., in a more flexible schedule and half of seminars online form)?

Having the possibility to watch seminars online is interesting as it allows to virtually attend seminars from previous years for instance. Overall, the seminars were mostly during lunch, without collisions with other courses. The organization was good.

2. What part you like (why)?

The fact the topics are current and advanced researches, besides on subjects which interest me.

3. Which part you do not like (why)?

I was surely missing some background knowledge for some seminars, it would be nice to be able to have an idea of the level of the seminar beforehand.

4. What do you think about the amount of the tasks? More seminars (or reports) are valuable or fewer is better?

It seemed rather balanced since there were not that many onsite seminars (or at least the ones for which we were notified) and it would be difficult to attend more than 5 onsite seminars as it is always possible to miss one because of schedule issues. Less would not be much regarding the ECTS, more might be difficult to have, at least for onsite seminars.

5. What is the qualification of the seminars (e.g., onsite one from ACCESS ISS and online ones? Did you gain certain knowledge?

The seminars were overall of good quality and I believe I gained some overall knowledge on communications and networks, maybe some more precise ideas on a few specific topics discussed.

Teaching

1. Do you like the teaching method? Did you get fast and valuable response/comments on reports?

Name (optional): Alexis Hubert

The teaching method was efficient. The response took usually less than 3 days which is completely satisfying I believe. The comments were brief although mentioned when language or description could be improved.

2. How do you like the online seminars? (good points or insufficiency)
Not many recent ones (compared to the number of seminars a year) but overall a plethora of seminars. The quality of the recording itself makes the seminars completely understandable.

3. Any suggestion to improve the teaching?
When specifying that some things could be improved, maybe mention some specific examples (for language, mention a poorly written sentence for instance, for content, mention some ideas not well presented for example).

Comments

1. What is your general comment to the course? (Negative, Neutral, Positive, or very Positive) What additional comments you have?
Positive, the course was interesting and having the opportunity to attend these seminars was appreciated.

2. Homework (reports) comments?
The format for the essay was not clear, especially since I had never written an essay in English (with Swedish or English expectations). I was not sure what the expected structure of the essay was. Giving some well-written essays from previous years may help shading some lights on a few doubts of the students.

3. Comments on task load
Good, well balanced.

4. Other comments or suggestion to improve the course?

Name (optional): Elsa Fromont

Course evaluation for “Seminars in Information and Network Engineering” EQ 2461

Teacher: Associate Prof. Ming Xiao

Content

1. Do you think that the organization of the course is interesting and why (i.e., in a more flexible schedule and half of seminars online form)?

Yes because it lets us choose to attend seminars according to the subjects which we are particularly interested in.

2. What part you like (why)?

The seminars suggested were all very interesting and covered a large variety of subjects.

3. Which part you do not like (why)?

I think it would be better if speakers were aware that some in the audience (students in this course for instance) are not necessarily familiar with the subject they are presenting so that they can adapt and explain or define certain notions. I often had the feeling that seminars were rather meant for teachers or researchers with excellent knowledge and as a consequence, there were some specific terms or acronyms used which I did not know and this made the seminar hard to follow.

4. What do you think about the amount of the tasks? More seminars (or reports) are valuable or fewer is better?

I think that the number of 8 seminars was a good choice. However, it would be better to have more options for seminars to attend onsite.

5. What is the qualification of the seminars (e.g., onsite one from ACCESS ISS and online ones? Did you gain certain knowledge?

I learnt about many challenges in the field of communication and gained valuable insight but I often lacked previous knowledge to understand the more technical aspects of the seminars.

Name (optional): Elsa Fromont

Teaching

1. Do you like the teaching method? Did you get fast and valuable response/comments on reports?

Yes

2. How do you like the online seminars? (good points or insufficiency)

Very interesting and large variety.

3. Any suggestion to improve the teaching?

More detailed instructions on what is expected for both seminar reports and the essay would be helpful.

Comments

1. What is your general comment to the course? (Negative, Neutral, Positive, or very Positive) What additional comments you have?

Positive.

2. Homework (reports) comments?
3. Comments on task load
4. Other comments or suggestion to improve the course?

Course evaluation for “Seminars in Information and Network Engineering” EQ 2461

Teacher: Associate Prof. Ming Xiao

Content

1. Do you think that the organization of the course is interesting and why (i.e., in a more flexible schedule and half of seminars online form)?
Yes, it is interesting because there are many online seminar topics, of which I can select the most interesting topic to participate at.
2. What part you like (why)?
I like the on-site seminar part of the course. The seminar tends to cover to some important aspect related to networking and communication engineering in about 50 minutes.
3. Which part you do not like (why)?
I would like that if a student can attend other on-site seminars arranged by KTH. There are number of interesting seminars held at KTH Entrée and it would be more interesting to participate in one of them.
4. What do you think about the amount of the tasks? More seminars (or reports) are valuable or fewer is better?
I think it is fair amount of seminars.
5. What is the qualification of the seminars (e.g., onsite one from ACCESS ISS and online ones? Did you gain certain knowledge?
Some of the on-site seminar tends to have much information that beyond my scope and therefore I find it sometimes hard to understand. Bbut the online seminar gives more fixability with respect to the difficulty level.

Teaching

1. Do you like the teaching method? Did you get fast and valuable response/comments on reports?
Yes, I received several valuable feedbacks.
2. How do you like the online seminars? (good points or insufficiency)
Online seminars have very interesting topics

3. Any suggestion to improve the teaching?

Comments

1. What is your general comment to the course? (Negative, Neutral, Positive, or very Positive) What additional comments you have?
Positive, it helped me to learn more about network & communication engineering.
2. Homework (reports) comments?
It is not hard at all.
3. Comments on task load
No heavy load because the course responsible offers a great flexibility on the load.
4. Other comments or suggestion to improve the course?
I would definitely recommend this course for my friends.

Course evaluation for “Seminars in Information and Network Engineering” EQ 2461

Teacher: Associate Prof. Ming Xiao

Content

1. Do you think that the organization of the course is interesting and why (i.e., in a more flexible schedule and half of seminars online form)?

Yes, I think it is, because it gives more flexibility to the student

2. What part you like (why)?

I like to be able to choose the seminars and to learn very recent and new things.

3. Which part you do not like (why)?

Maybe the online seminars were not as many to choose from.

4. What do you think about the amount of the tasks? More seminars (or reports) are valuable or fewer is better?

The amount is just good in my opinion.

5. What is the qualification of the seminars (e.g., onsite one from ACCESS ISS and online ones? Did you gain certain knowledge?

I gained interesting new knowledge. However, maybe adding seminars other than ACCESS would give more choice.

Teaching

1. Do you like the teaching method? Did you get fast and valuable response/comments on reports?

Yes

2. How do you like the online seminars? (good points or insufficiency)

They were good and in good quality.

3. Any suggestion to improve the teaching?

Adding a bigger choice of seminars also other than ACCESS

Comments

1. What is your general comment to the course? (Negative, Neutral, Positive, or very Positive) What additional comments you have?

Positive

2. Homework (reports) comments?

Nothing to add

3. Comments on task load

Was ok

4. Other comments or suggestion to improve the course?

Name (optional):

Course evaluation for “Seminars in Information and Network Engineering” EQ 2461

Teacher: Associate Prof. Ming Xiao

Content

1. Do you think that the organization of the course is interesting and why (i.e., in a more flexible schedule and half of seminars online form)?
A: Yes. For me I can attend several seminars onsite and the schedule is flexible so I can pick the topics I interested in.
2. What part you like (why)?
A: Onsite seminars. The examiner offered decent amount of onsite seminar info which is hardly to collect without attending the class.
3. Which part you do not like (why)?
A: None.
4. What do you think about the amount of the tasks? More seminars (or reports) are valuable or fewer is better?
A: Proper. It's more convenient to offer recording video of the onsite seminars or streaming.
5. What is the qualification of the seminars (e.g., onsite one from ACCESS ISS and online ones? Did you gain certain knowledge?
A: Good. Yes.

Teaching

1. Do you like the teaching method? Did you get fast and valuable response/comments on reports?
A: Yes, I like the teaching method.
Yes, I received fast and valuable comments on reports.
2. How do you like the online seminars? (good points or insufficiency)
A: It covers the advanced topic that the speaker is working on .
3. Any suggestion to improve the teaching?
A: None

Name (optional):

Comments

1. What is your general comment to the course? (Negative, Neutral, Positive, or very Positive) What additional comments you have?
A: Positive.
2. Homework (reports) comments?
A: None.
3. Comments on task load
A: Proper work load.
4. Other comments or suggestion to improve the course?
A: It's nice to have recording version of onsite seminars.

Name (optional):

Course evaluation for "Seminars in Information and Network Engineering" EQ 2461

Teacher: Associate Prof. Ming Xiao

Content

Do you think that the organization of the course is interesting and why (i.e., in a more flexible schedule and half of seminars online form)?

It is practicable because we can listen to conferences online and have to assist to only 4 seminars so this is a benefit of time especially for students that live far from the campus (like me).

What part you like (why)?

The things that the topics are different and we can have an overview of every subject with very good lecturer.

Which part you do not like (why)?

—

What do you think about the amount of the tasks? More seminars (or reports) are valuable or fewer is better?

Maybe 1 or 2 fewer.

What is the qualification of the seminars (e.g., onsite one from ACCESS ISS and online ones? Did you gain certain knowledge?

To me lecturer are very good, experts in their domains. Moreover, their English is often well spoken.

Teaching

Do you like the teaching method? Did you get fast and valuable response/comments on reports?

The teacher answers fast to email and approves or refuses fast the reports, that's a very good point to me. The first seminar was very clear and I knew immediately how the course worked.

How do you like the online seminars? (good points or insufficiency)

Sometimes lecturer are not going to deep in the domains. However, they try to explain it simply with example.

Any suggestion to improve the teaching?

Name (optional):

Comments

What is your general comment to the course? (Negative, Neutral, Positive, or very Positive) What additional comments you have?
Positive

1. Homework (reports) comments?

300 lines is a good deal but sometimes, especially with seminars online when you have the time to listen 2 times and understand everything he said, 300 lines was a little bit short for me to explain all the things the lecturer said.

2. Comments on task load

Efficient and easy, it's fast to send an email with the report.

3. Other comments or suggestion to improve the course?

Name (optional):

Course evaluation for “Seminars in Information and Network Engineering” EQ 2461

Teacher: Associate Prof. Ming Xiao

Content

1. Do you think that the organization of the course is interesting and why (i.e., in a more flexible schedule and half of seminars online form)?

Yes, this flexible organization allowed me to follow the course while I was working on an internship while couldn't have attended in a more standard schedule.

2. What part you like (why)?

Being able to choose online seminars that we are specifically interested on, it makes it more rewarding.

3. Which part you do not like (why)?

Maybe there could be more offer of on-site seminars including the ones organized by KTH in the library for example.

4. What do you think about the amount of the tasks? More seminars (or reports) are valuable or fewer is better?

I think the workload was appropriate.

5. What is the qualification of the seminars (e.g., onsite one from ACCESS ISS and online ones? Did you gain certain knowledge?

I found the on-site seminars quite interesting, good introduction to fields I didn't know much about.

Teaching

1. Do you like the teaching method? Did you get fast and valuable response/comments on reports?

Yes, the response to mails and evaluation was always fast.

2. How do you like the online seminars? (good points or insufficiency)

I enjoyed the opportunity of including external online seminars.

3. Any suggestion to improve the teaching?

Name (optional):

As said before, including other related seminars organized by different parts of KTH in the offer.

Comments

1. What is your general comment to the course? (Negative, Neutral, Positive, or very Positive) What additional comments you have?
Positive, no additional comments.

2. Homework (reports) comments?
It's good practice to learn how to write better reports.

3. Comments on task load
As said before, I feel like the task load was appropriate.

4. Other comments or suggestion to improve the course?
Only what has been mentioned, increasing the on-site offer of seminars.

Name:

Course evaluation for “Seminars in Information and Network Engineering” EQ 2461

Teacher: Associate Prof. Ming Xiao

Content

1. Do you think that the organization of the course is interesting and why (i.e., in a more flexible schedule and half of seminars online form)?

I think that it was well organized, and fair enough. The online form helps, since not always is possible to attend all the seminars phically.

2. What part you like (why)?

I have enjoyed the possibility to get in touch with some topic that normally I wouldn't. Moreover, I appreciated the fact to meet famous professor from other university.

3. Which part you do not like (why)?

Nothing in particular

4. What do you think about the amount of the tasks? More seminars (or reports) are valuable or fewer is better?

I think the workload was a bit too high compared with the number of credits, but all in all acceptable.

5. What is the qualification of the seminars (e.g., onsite one from ACCESS ISS and online ones? Did you gain certain knowledge?

As said befor, I like the seminars since I was able to get in touch with some interesting topics. I manage to gain some general understandings of some new subjects and deepen the one that I already knew.

Teaching

1. Do you like the teaching method? Did you get fast and valuable response/comments on reports?

The response was fast no complains.

Name:

2. How do you like the online seminars? (good points or insufficiency)
They are fine. Some of them, they are not really interesting and some other I think they are extremely technical.

3. Any suggestion to improve the teaching?
None in particular.

Comments

1. What is your general comment to the course? (Negative, Neutral, Positive, or very Positive) What additional comments you have?
Positive. Satisfied.

2. Homework (reports) comments?
None in particularly relevant.

3. Comments on task load
It was balanced

4. Other comments or suggestion to improve the course?
none